

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,539	3,539 03/19/2002		Veikko Kankaanpaa	540-016.2	6927
4955	7590	03/17/2005	EXAMINER		
		A VAN DER SI	ALVO, MARC S		
ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		, P O BOX 224	1731		
MONROE	, CT 06	468		DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/088,539	KANKAANPAA, VEIKKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steve Alvo	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statudent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror the, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 25 LLS C & 110/	a) (d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority dilider 35 0.5.C. § 119(8	a)-(d) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attack mount(c)						
Attachment(s)	A) []	(PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050314				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,539

Art Unit: 1731

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 14, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 1-7 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "running wheel" is indefinite. Element (7) does not appear to be shaped or function as a wheel. How does element (7) function as a wheel?

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as Set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 931 584 in view with or without MARKHAM et al (5,733,412) or BERNARD et al (4,865,690).

EP 931 584 teaches a method of dispersing pulp containing solid material and a liquid phase in a dispersing device (Figures 1 and 5) wherein the dispersing takes place in a narrow opening (a) between rotating protruding blades having conical surfaces (3,3',3") and (4, 4' 4") on the rotor (2) and stator (1). At the outlet end of the disperser is an impeller (2, 7 and 7') acting as a pump to pump the dispersed material out of the

Application/Control Number: 10/088,539

Art Unit: 1731

dispersing device. EP 931 584 in Figure 5 shows the surfaces to be conical in shape or at least truncated cones. Elements 2, 7, 7' and 10 (Figures 5 and 6) of EP 931 584 act to pump the pulp out of the dispersing device in the same manner claimed by Applicant. The claimed blades do not define over the blades of EP 931 584 nor do they function in a different manner. If EP 931 584 does not teach dispersing pulp, especially waste paper, then MARKHAM et al (5,733,412) or BERNARD et al (4,865,690) teach using a dispersing grinder/refiner to disperse waste paper and remove contaminants from the waste paper. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the disperser/grinder/refiner of EP 93 1 584 to disperse waste paper and remove impurities from the waste paper in the manner as MARKHAM et al (5,733,412) or BERNARD et al (4,865,690) teach the use of dispersers to remove contaminants from waste paper. See MARKHAM et al, column 6, lines 17 and 34 for 25% consistency before dilution and 10% consistency after dilution.

Claims 4-7 and 17- 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 931 584 in view with or without MARKHAM et al (5,733,412) or BERNARD et al (4,865,690) as applied to claim I above, and further in view of KURTZ.

KURTZ teaches adding dilution fluid to the periphery of the dispersing device through conduit (31) and other conduits not shown. It is well known in pulp handling to use dilution water to aid in the pumping of the pulp to bring the pulp to a pumpable consistency. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use dilution conduits as taught by KIJRTZ to bring the pulp to a pumpable level in the disperser of EP 931 584 and/or the disperser of MARKHAM Ct al (5,733,412) or BERNARD et al (4,865,690).

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not convincing as the conical surfaces 3 and 4 of EP 0 931 584 do not appear to differ from the protruding conical surfaces of the instant method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 571-272-1185.

The examiner can normally be reached on 5:45 AM - 2:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steve Alvo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

msa